## NON-CONFIDENTIAL

1	Request IR-22:				
2					
3 4	Reference: (M-2) Page 14:				
5	CITATION:				
6					
7 8 9	In summary, the Maritime Link Project will give Nova Scotia access to reliable, renewable energy at a predictable price from Phase 1 of Nalcor's Lower Churchill hydroelectric development in Labrador (Lower Churchill Project Phase 1 or LCP Phase 1), will allow				
10 11 12 13	Nova Scotia to meet new Federal regulatory requirements focused on greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions and will assist in meeting Nova Scotia's Renewable Electricity Standards.				
14	Question 1:				
15	Question 1.				
16	What is that predictable price?				
17	What is that production price.				
18	Response IR-1:				
19					
20	The predictable price is \$1.52 B with a variance of up to \$60 million for 35 years. This is				
21	based on the 20 For 20 Principle (Please refer to Liberal IR-11). This price is predictable				
22	for 35 years since it does not vary with fuel prices for the entire period. Also, the Maritime				
23	Link provides direct access to Surplus Energy, which requires no additional capital				
24	investment to access for 50 years.				
25					
26	1 Perhaps I misunderstood the wording of the citation. My question was meant to ask				
27	what is the price that ratepayers will pay for energy through the Maritime Link?				
28	have further defined the question and present the following preambles and citations				
29	as clarification:				
30					
31	Preamble 1:				
32					
33	On March 11, 2013, NSPML introduced more than 20,000 pages of additional				
34	evidence to support the Maritime Link Project. The Nova Scotia Government has				
35	restricted perusal of these 20,000 pages of evidence to a mere 40 business hours. Due				
36	to technical issues, the UARB has allowed an additional 3 hours of time to submit				
37	IR's. However, perusal, understanding and questioning of 20,000 plus pages of				
38	additional evidence, much of it very technical data, and much of it in the form of				
39	very complex spreadsheets, in a mere 43 hours is physically and mentally impossible				
40	for one person. Therefore, the Lower Power Rates Alliance will not question the				

additional evidence provided by NSPML on March 11, 2013, and will ask only a few

Date Filed: April 2, 2013

simple questions.

41

42 43 44

#### **NON-CONFIDENTIAL**

1	Citation 1:
2	
3	The
4	the f

The increase related to this project (Maritime Link) will be less than one per cent a year for the first five years of the project (2018-2022). That comes to approximately \$1.50 a month for the average bill in each of the first five years. Then after that, it will be stable or declining for the remaining 30 years.—Chris Huskilson, Emera president, Jan.25, 2013, on the Maritime Link filing

Source: http://www.cbc.ca/ns/features/maritime-link/

#### Citation 2:

What the filing will say is that the impact on rates for Nova Scotians will be less than one per cent for the first five years and then stable and then decline over the balance of the life of the contract with Maritime Link Darrell Dexter, Premier of Nova Scotia, January 25, 2013, on the Maritime Link filing

 $Source: \underline{http://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/nova-scotia/story/2013/01/24/ns-muskrat-falls-rate-increase-dexter.html}$ 

#### Citation 3:

Power users are being asked to pay an extra one per cent a year for five years, starting in 2018, to fund the Maritime Link Project.

Officials with Emera Inc., Nova Scotia Power's parent company, said Friday the project it's developing won't result in rate hikes after that.

In fact, the Halifax energy company believes its foray into Labrador hydroelectricity could help lower rates after 2022.

"While we're not applying for that rate right now, we are confident in what we believe the rate impact will be." Nancy Tower, chief executive officer of Emera Newfoundland and Labrador

Emera wants to add 0.95 per cent a year to power bills between 2018 and 2022. That's an extra \$1.50 a month on the average household's power bill in each of the link's first five years of operation, the project developer said.

In the four years after that, Emera predicts the Maritime Link will help lower rates by an average of 0.4 per cent annually.

A projected rate impact beyond 2027 wasn't available, but officials said they expect either no impact on rates or further reductions for the rest of the 35-year deal.

Source: http://thechronicleherald.ca/business/527867-maritime-link-could-add-1-to-power-bills

## NON-CONFIDENTIAL

1	Citation 4:
2 3 4 5	That represents 8 to 10 per cent of Nova Scotia's power supply. Emera is projecting that Nova Scotia will import 30 per cent of its power through the link.
6 7 8 9	As an energy price, the \$1.5 billion works out to eight cents per kilowatt hour, Emera said. That's based on 2017 energy price forecasts and assumes that Nova Scotia Power is getting one-third of its electricity from Labrador or other imports.
10 11 12	In comparison, residential customers currently pay 13.79 cents per kilowatt hour, including fuel costs.
13 14	Rate hikes related to the cable project would require a separate approval from the regulator.
15 16	If the board approves the capital project, a rate filing would be made in 2017 to determine exactly when and how customers would pay, Emera said.
17 18 19	${\bf Source: http://thechronicleherald.ca/business/527867-maritime-link-could-add-1-to-power-bills}$
20 21	Preamble 2:
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	To make the above statements and the following question crystal clear, unambiguous and easily understood by all, I have defined certain words and terms so as to be 100% positive there can be no misunderstanding of the question, and to ensure that the answer is not ambiguous and could not be misunderstood by the average NSPI ratepayer to mean anything but what was said:
29 30 31	<u>Increase:</u> to become progressively greater (as in size, amount, number, or intensity), to make greater.
32 33 34	<u>Related:</u> Belonging to the same family, group, or type; connected, associated with the specified item or process,
35 36 37	<u>Less than:</u> Not equal too, or not as much as the previous number or amount, constituting a more limited number or amount.
38 39 40 41	<u>Project (Maritime Link):</u> M05419 – The Maritime Link Project, specifically: the application by NSP Maritime Link Incorporated (NSPML) for Approval of the Maritime Link, and The Maritime Link Act, S.N.S. 2012, c.9, and the Maritime Link Cost Recovery Process Regulations, N.S. Reg. 189/2012, as amended.
42 43 44	<u>Approximately:</u> Nearly correct or exact; (of quantities) imprecise but fairly close to correct; about.

Date Filed: April 2, 2013

## NON-CONFIDENTIAL

1 2	Average: The result obtained by adding several quantities together and ther dividing the total quantities by the number of quantities; the mean.
3 4 5	Bill: An amount of money owed for goods supplied or services rendered, set out in a printed or written statement of charges. In the context used in the citations, i
5 6 7	appears to mean a month, however, it is noted that the average bill by NSPI covers a
8	bimonthly period, occurring every two months.
9 10	<u>Each:</u> Every one of two or more people or things, regarded and identified separately; to, for, or by every one of a group.
11 12	The first five years: The period of time between between 2018 and 2022.
13	The first five years. The period of time between between 2010 and 2022.
14 15	Then after that: From the specified time onward; from then on, after that, then next, afterwards, subsequently, following that. In the context of the citations, i
16	means the period beyond the year 2022, or from the year 2023 onward.
17	
18 19	Stable: Firmly established, not likely to change, not changing or fluctuating.
20	<b><u>Declining:</u></b> Becoming smaller, fewer, or less.
21 22	Preamble 3:
23	
24	At \$1.50 per month over 35 years, ratepayers will have paid a total of about
25	\$311 million dollars. At a cost of about \$1.55 billion dollars, (the average of
26	\$1.52 and 1.58 billion dollars), \$1.24 billion dollars will still be owed. At the
27	rate of \$1.50 per month, it will take just over 172 years to pay off \$1.55
28 29	billion dollars, and that's without interest, inflation, or cost over-runs.
30	Preamble 4:
31	
32	Emera wants to add 0.95 per cent a year to power bills between 2018 and
33	2022. That's an extra \$1.50 a month on the average household's power bill in
34 35	each of the link's first five years of operation
36	Preamble 5:
37 38 39	Rate hikes related to the Maritime Link project would require separate approva from the UARB.
40 41	Question 1 a:
42 43 44	How do you expect that the \$1.24 billion dollars plus remaining after 35 years will ge paid?

Date Filed: April 2, 2013

#### NON-CONFIDENTIAL

	NON-CONFIDENTIAL	
Ques	tion 1 b:	
	can you responsibly cost and build plant and facilities without knowing the income to ratio, how the cost will be amortized and what time frame it will be amortized over?	
Ques	tion 1 c:	
mont in th mont	Will you guarantee to the NSP ratepayer that the quoted rate of 0.95%, \$1.50 per h, \$3.00 per billing period will be the maximum increase that Emera/NSP will ask for e first 5 years, from 2018 to 2022, and that it will remain stable at 0.95%, \$1.50 per h, \$3.00 per billing period or decline by 0.4% each year in the 30 years following 2022, g to and including the year 2052?	
Ques	tion 1 d:	
	Tower stated "While we're not applying for that rate right now, we are confident in we believe the rate impact will be."	
one-t cents the N	d on the 2017 energy price forecasts and assuming that Nova Scotia Power is getting hird of its electricity from Labrador or other imports, how much money, in terms of per kWh, will it cost Nova Scotia Power ratepayers for the energy received through Iaritime Link cable, a "\$1.52 B with a variance of up to \$60 million" investment by the Scotia Power ratepayer, in January, 2018?	
Ques	tion 1 e:	
As rate hikes related to the Maritime Link project, which will provide 8 to 10% of our energy requirements, will require separate approval from the UARB, will NSP show a separate section on the monthly/bimonthly bills indicating the cost of the 8 to 10% of energy provided during the billing period, which will be at a different cost than the remaining 90 to 92%, which will require yet an additional hearing by the UARB to approve rate hikes?		
Resp	onse IR-22:	
(a-b)	On line 3 of Citation 1 above, it is noted that the \$1.50 per month per customer is the	
	incremental cost projection to NS customers resulting from the Maritime Link Project. In	
	determining this amount, the total cost to develop the Maritime Link (estimated capital	
	cost of \$1.52 billion - which includes an estimate of price escalation/inflation and	
	contingency - as well as all costs of financing, O&M, income tax, etc.) is partially offset	

with cost savings that will result in NS Power when the avoided cost of generated energy

41

## NON-CONFIDENTIAL

1		that is being replaced is taken into account. As noted in NSUARB IR-102, that net
2		savings in NS Power (net of purchasing surplus energy and the fuel cost savings) is
3		estimated to be \$100 million in 2018. For clarity, and as reflected in the Financial Model
4		(Appendix 4.01 to the Application), 100 percent of the cost of the Maritime Link Project
5		will be recovered from NS customers over 35 years. The model shows that there is not
6		any cost remaining to be recovered at the end of this term.
7		
8	(c)	The \$1.50 amount relates to the incremental cost recovery associated with the Maritime
9		Link and is a projection based upon information available at the present time and given
10		current economic and environmental regulations. It is expected that NSPML will file an
11		application to set its revenue requirement and assessment associated with the Maritime
12		Link prior to the ML becoming operational (likely in 2017).
13		
14	(d)	Please refer to the response to NSUARB IR-37 (c) and the related Attachment 1. In
15		particular, the tab titled "Figure 4-4" shows that the projected cost in 2018 is
16		\$83.85/MWh or 8.4 cents/kWh.
17		
18	(e)	As a separate regulated utility, NSPML's costs for the NS Block will be clearly known as
19		it will be the subject of a regulatory process independent from that of NS Power. That
20		cost will be charged to NS Power through an assessment. It has not yet been determined
21		what amounts will be isolated on customer bills.